Reducing Diesel Particulate Emissions by 99 %

The Swiss Approach

TTM, A.Mayer BUWAL, M.Wyser

The Swiss Chronicle to reduce Diesel Particulate emissions by 99 %

- Offroad Emissions Inventory 1993 / 1998
 (computer-model for predictions now available on CD in German)
- VERT-Project 1994-1998 to define BAT
- BUWAL: Clean Air Act Amendments 3 / 1998
 - Diesel Particulate classified as carcinogenic (to be minimized acc. to BAT irrespective of cost)
 - PM 10 Limit 20 g/m3 (exceeded in all cities)
 - Traps for construction sites in/nearby cities
- SUVA: Traps for the working place 3/2000
- Implementation Tools:
 - Trap-System Specification 1996
 - Trap-System-Certification Procedure 1997
 - Filter-List of all certified traps on the Net 1998
 - Ultrafine Particle Metrology "NanoMet" 1999
 - EC-oriented Calibration method by EAM 1999
 - Trap OBD-Systems "LogLink" 1999
 - Trap Manufacturer Association AKPF 1998
 - Nanoparticle Measurement Conference since 1997
- 2500 traps operating controlled by field testing (should be 100 % yearly control – now only 10-15 %)
- STUMP-Postulat: "Retrofit of all swiss HDV-onroad with efficient filters vehicles as soon as possible"

Swiss Offroad Emission Inventory

- Diesel-Inventory based on ca 300 engine maps 1994
 Published 1996
- Offroad Diesels emit as much PM as onroad Diesels
 Share deteriorates as onroad Diesels become cleaner by regulation and offroad not
- Offroad Diesel emission is serious local pollution "local climate-effect" since traffic dilution is missing Critical situation for construction sites in cities
- Legal Situation: Switzerland can act offroad whereas it is preemted to some extent from actions onroad for new vehicles because of EU-contracts
- Review of offroad inventory 1998 shows no improvement
- Computer model established to predict emissions for given local situations like construction sites
- Clean Air Act LRV modified accordingly 1998

VERT-Project 1994 - 1998

- Common project of Occupational Health Authorities CH/D/A and swiss Clean Air Authority BUWAL with innovative industrial partners
- Target 1: establish BAT to reduce Diesel Particulate-Emissions to < 5 % applicable to all existing diesels using market available technology within 3 years
- Target 2: evaluate or develop certification procedures and field controls for the retrofit-technology
- Target 3: establish implementation tools
- Particulate Definition:
 - Solid particles: soot EC-core + adsorbed OC
 - Size range 10 500 nm
- Results: (all published)
 - Modern OR-Diesels emit as many particles as old engines
 - Clean Fuels do not reduce particle number emission
 - Oxi-Catalysts do not reduce particle number emission but increase NO2 and SO3 to unacceptable levels
 - Traps reduce particle numbers by > 95 (up to 99) %
 - Traps do not loose efficiency after 2000 hrs field test
- Specifications and test procedures
 developed with industrial partners
 accepted by German, Austrian and Swiss Occ. Health Authorities
 as well as Swiss Clean Air Authority BUWAL, German UBA and DEEP

Definition of Diesel Particulate

or Diesel-emitted Particles?

- Definition with respect to Health Effect
 Mass? Count? Surface? Size (aerodynamic or mobility?) Range?
- Definition regarding chemical substance Or at least "leading substance"
- Definition regarding physical appearance (phase, size parameters)
- Definition regarding sampling spot (tailpipe ? engine ? anywhere ??)
- Definition regarding sampling conditions Load, speed, cycle, steady/transient?

Swiss Definition: Solid Particulate (< 300°C) and anything adsorbed to it at tail-pipe conditions in the size range 10-500 nm

Legislation / Regulation

- SUVA requires Traps for underground Work and all closed or semi-closed rooms 100 % application, no exceptions only VERT-certified traps are accepted in force 3/2000
- BUWAL requires Traps for Diesel at construction sites In cities or closeby cities Implementation by local government (Cantons) Only VERT-certified trap-systems Regular field control required (yearly) Exceptions for very short operation period In force 3/1998
- Trap systems must comply with other legislation (noise, safety)
- Trap systems using catalytic coatings or fuel catalysts must prove "no emission of secondary toxic emissions" In force 1990

Trap-System Specification

- Filtration Rate for Particulate Mass desirable > 80 %
- Filtration Rate for Particle Number mandatory > 95 %
- Filtration Rate for EC-Mass mandatory > 90 % For the fresh, loaded and regenerated trap the new and old trap (old = 2000 hrs of field operation) also transient during regeneration
- No increase of any other toxic emissions CO, HC, NO, NO2, N2O Sulfates (desirable) PAH and Nitro-PAH PCDD/F
- Pressure Loss for loaded < 200 mbar New trap should be below 50
- Automatic dosing systems for fuel additives
- Electronic OBC with 2 Alarm Levels for trap clogging and trap damage
- Field control criterion: opacity < 0,24 1/m (0,033 g/m3) during snap acceleration (low to high idle)
- Noise
- Safety
- Size
- Investment and maintenance cost
- Service Interval
- ++++ see Filter List

Trap-System Certification Procedure

Basis Assumptions according to deep filter theory

- Trapping Efficiency is defined for solid particles "solid" when passing the trap
- Trapping Efficiency depends mainly on Volume flow and Temperature
- Trap-Systems may be tested on any Diesel engine at max. space velocity and temperature
- Trapping Eff. is equal for steady state and transient
- → Trapping quality can be verified on any represent. engine

Procedure:

- ISO 8178/4 C1: 4 operation points
- Fresh, loaded and cleaned Filter
- With/without fuel additives
- Steady state and snap on test
- Emission online during regeneration
- New and after 2000 hrs

Instrumentation:

- Standard emission instrumentation
- Particle number concentration (SMPS)
- Particle chemistry (PAS, DC) + Coulometry
- Filter cake analysis for metals, sulfate +...

Test shortened in case of introducing new technology on existing systems Test extended if production of secondary emissions is to be expected

Filter-List

- Lists all trap-systems which have successfully passed VERT Filter Suitibility Test VFT and VERT-Secondary Emissions Test VSET
- Now 18 systems on the list
- Updated every 6 month actual list 1.Oct.2000
- on BUWAL and SUVA-Homepages and DieselNet
- A system will be put on the list after Test 1 "new state"
 If retest after 2000 hrs field is not be successful
 or not performed after latest 2 years
 the trap system will be taken off the list
- Retesting also becomes mandatory if 5 % of reported field controls are exceeding 0,24 1/m during snap on
- The List is widely accepted by manufacturers and users and has become the most important implementation tool

How to measure engine emitted Aerosols

- Health effect oriented: Combine characterization of mass, surface, number, chemical composition
- Transient: time constant 1 sec to monitor emission peaks during ETC
- Sensitive: better than 0,5 g/m3 EC to use same method for emission and ambient
- Robust:
 to use same method for certification and field control
- EC-oriented for Traceability
- At Tail-Pipe-conditions: avoiding all condensatin artefacts by high/hot Dilution (D >1:100 / 150°C)

No satisfying method was available in 1995 Therefore BUWAL supported development of a new ETH-Aerosol-Research method NanoMet based on available

- High/hot dilution
- Mobility sizing
- Double-sensor technology PAS+DC

Instrument is now commercially available by Matter Engineering and has just successfully passed round robin test of German Occupational Health Authority

How to calibrate particle measuring instruments

with respect to a leading chemical substance and traceability

- EAM (Swiss Authority for Measurement) identified "Combustion Aerosol Metrology" as the most important metrology target in 1995
- EAM started consequently to develop an Combustion Aerosol Standard to be used for official calibration of any instruments offered by manufacturers
- CAST (Combustion Aerosol Standard) is now available
- Principle: perfectly controlled diffusion flame combustion
- Composition of particles is > 98 % EC
- Size of Particles is available 20 500 nm
- Concentration can be adjusted by dilution
 3 orders of magnitude variation

Field control for Trap-Systems

Actual Use: mobile Opacimeter

not very sensitive but good enough to identify trap damage for given engine emission quality widely available in Switzerland because of onroad legislation

However Opacimetry does not monitor ultrafine particulate emission and will not be sufficiently sensitive for future engines

Future: NanoMet

Identifies trap efficiency by simultaneous transient measurement upstream and downstream during snap-on acceleration and

can monitor ambient effect (threshold control) with the same instrument

The Trap Manufacturer Association AKPF

A working group of actually 20 trap-system manufacturers (D, S, A, F, NL, UK, CH, USA, CAN) with the following activities:

- Prepare technical standards
- Analyse Field experience
- Dialog with Authorities
- User-Information
- Define research topics
- Support new metrology

AKPF has become a very important dialog-partner for the swiss authorities.

Common meetings every 6 month Information is public Homepage under DieselNet by end of 2000

Nanoparticle-Measurement-Conference

Yearly since 1997 at Zürich

- Worldwide participation: 160 participants in 2000
- 53 Papers and and 3 Workshops 2000
- 3-day-meeting
- Proceedings available on CD
- No Conference Fee
- Next conference: 9/10 August 2001
- Call for papers in May to get real updated information

The NMC is actually the only worldwide meeting of the Nanoparticle Measuremnt Community in Science and Engineering strictly oriented to characterization of combustion aerosols

Trap-Retrofit Statistics and Field Results

2400 Traps in use in Switzerland

- 78 on trucks
- 689 on buses
- 800 on construction machines
- 589 on fork lifts
- 81 on stationary engines
- 118 on rail and ships
- 28 for periodic use (garages)

It is expected that this numbers will double in 2001

• Failures:

- total failures over 10 years: 154 (0,6 % ?)
- total failures after 1995 : 84
- excluding unsuccessful test series: 56 (2,6%)

Target is < 1 %

Emission Measurements in the Field in 2000

- 207 measurements (unsufficient)
- Complains (exceeding 0,24 1/m): 37 total excluding test series: 7 (3,3 %)

Repair target: 10 days

Particulate Trap Retrofit for all swiss onroad HDV "Postulat STUMP"

A request on parliament level Mai 1999
Accepted by the Swiss government August 1999
Study on Feasibility concluded August 2000
Decision expected in spring 2001

- 66'000 HDV onroad
- Trap Retrofit justified for new (EURO 2) and up to 20 years old vehicles
- Cost in the Range of 5'000 12'000 SFR
 Financing model by emission dependent road tax
 LSVA in force 1.Jan 2001
- Pilot-Series (12 x 100) starting in 2002 proposed
- Feasibility investigated based on in-service statistical analysis of operational behavour for 12 Vehicle classes (see SAE-Paper 3/2001)
- Report on Feasibility available on CD

Available Documentation

- VERT-Reports (partly English) on 4 CD
- Swiss offroad inventory and prediction model on CD
- Swiss Legal Regulations (in German, French and Italian)
- Filter-List (with Specification and Certification Procedure) on CD
- Certification Reports VFT and VSET on CD (2 examples)
- Particle-Metrology (NanoMet) on CD
- Trap OBD-control (LogLink) on CD
- Calibration of Nanoparticle Instruments on CD
- NanoMet-Conference-Proceedings on CD
- Trap-Manufacureres : AKPF Homepage
- Report on "Retrofit all swiss HDV" on CD

CONCLUSIONS

- Particulate Traps are the only available measure
 To reduce solid combustion particle emission sufficiently
- Trapping efficiency can be as high as 99 %
- Reliable Trap systems are available
- Retrofitting traps is possible for all HDV with very few exceptions
- Fuel Sulfur Level should be as low as possible < 10 ppm
- Lubrication Oil TBN should be low and ash should be low
- Electronic OBD is a must
- Systems must operate fully automatic
- Systems can be designed to avoid all secondary emissions
- Frequent field control is recommended
- Certification Procedure must include solid particle number concentration and particle chemistry

Weitere Folien optional

Possible Improvements of the gravimetric Method

- Suppressing dew point effects
 Sampling temperature > 100 °C
- Increasing dilution Ratio
 Coming closer to real word conditions
- Avoid re-entrainment confounding
 By use of 1μ-cyclon
- Avoid confounding by fuel and oil-effects
 Use clean substances for certification

Remaining deficiencies

- no Substance-specific information
- no Aerosol-specific information
- no information on transient effects
- unsufficient sensitivity
- no agreement with ambient measurement
- calibration doubtful (just mass but which)

Basic principles of Measurement

1. No change of Mass, Phase and Composition between taking an sample and measuring its properties

any change during measurement process is artefact if artefact happens the measurement is not valid

2. Object to be measured must be defined

Definitions of mixed substances like

SOx = SO2 + SO3,

NOx = NO + NO + N2O

COx = CO + CO2

PM = Soot + Ash + Sulfates + Water + Engine Wear can not properly be handled and lead to useless information

3. Sample Conditions must be defined

Sampling in the engine, before TC, after TC at the tailpipe, in the dilution tunnel, at road side gives different results

Conventions are needed

4. Properties to be measured must be defined

PM or EC or TC or

Cumulative mass or individual mass?

Mass or Surface or Number or?

Aerodynamic Diameter of Mobility Diameter ?

Substance of which the properties should be measured.

5. Accuracy target must be defined

6. Calibration must be possible

7. Traceability should (must?) be possible

If we have no agreement on these basic principles we better stop talking about measurement of Diesel Particulate Emission

Deficiencies of Legislated Gravimetric Particulate Matter Measurement Procedure

"anything downstream of an engine in an exhaust gas/air-mixture found at 52 °C on a filter paper at undefined humidity and undefined dilution"

- Does not mimic real world conditions
 Insufficient dilution
 Dew point effects not excluded
- Modifies and falsifies the substance
 Formation of condensates and artefacts
- No Substance-specific information
 Toxic substances and water have equal value
 (1 single water-droplet and 1 million lung penetrating PAH-coated solid soot particles are equivalent)
- No Aerosol-specific information
 No information on Particulate Size
 Underestimates particle number/surface effects
- Physical Principal different from ambient Measurement

No agreement with basic measurement principles

Targets for new Diesel Particulate Measurement Technology

- Health oriented information
 Mass ? Number ? Surface ? Size ? Substance?
- Size-Specific information according to particle mobility size the range 10-1000 nm
- Substance-specific information differentiate between solid particles (carbon and ash) and condensates
- Sensitivity better then 1 g/m3
- Time resolution 1 sec
- Physical principle identical for Emission (certification and in use compliance) and Immission (ambient)
- Traceability to EC
- Repeatability
- Cost
- Size
- Handling

Alternative methods / New Metrology Candidates

- SMPS: count mobility sizing + CNC
- ELPI: mass13 stages impaction
- NanoMet: count, surface, substance mobility sizing + double sensing
- Aethalometry
 BC equivalent to EC-mass
- Coulometry EC + OC
- Laser Induced Incandescence (TIRE-LII)
 EC primary particles
- Multi-Wavelength Laser
- Light Scattering -Methods
- Quartz-Microbalance (TEOM)
- Mini-Moudi and other new impactors
- SEM and other picture-analysis methods

Strange Findings when measuring Diesel Particles

- Where mass is high, number is negligible
 Where number is high, mass is negligible
- Mass downstream trap > mass upstream trap
- Number downstream trap > number upstream trap
- Number changes fast depending on dilution
- Bimodal Size distributions with different substances
- Sensitivity to dilution and sampling temperature
- Artefacts due to sampling conditions
- Reduction of particulate mass but not count

lacktriangle